

PHENOTYPIC AND GENETIC VARIABILITY INDUCED BY GAMMA IRRADIATION IN WILMAN LOVEGRASS Eragrostis superba (PEYR.)

VARIABILIDAD FENOTÍPICA Y GENÉTICA INDUCIDA MEDIANTE IRRADIACIÓN GAMMA EN PASTO GARRAPATA Eragrostis superba (PEYR.)

Alan Álvarez-Holguín¹, Carlos R. Morales-Nieto²*, Carlos H. Avendaño-Arrazate³, Raúl Corrales-Lerma², Federico Villarreal-Guerrero² and Katia del Carmen Gil-Vega⁴

¹Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias (INIFAP), Campo Experimental La Campana, Aldama, Chihuahua, México. ²Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua, Facultad de Zootecnia y Ecología, Chihuahua, Chihuahua. México. ³INIFAP, Campo Experimental Rosario Izapa, Tuxtla Chico, Chiapas, México. ⁴Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Irapuato, Guanajuato, México.

*Autor de correspondencia (cnieto@uach.mx)

SUMMARY

Wilman lovegrass [Eragrostis superba (Peyr.)] is a species used worldwide to revegetate rangelands; however, it has a low nutritional quality and a poor tolerance to water stress; thus, it can be a candidate for plant breeding. In this study, the morphological, nutritional, stomatal and molecular diversity induced by gamma irradiation was evaluated in Wilman lovegrass. Seed of the common variety was exposed to gamma radiation at doses of 100, 200, 300, 450, 600, 900, 1400 and 2000 Gy; in addition, non-irradiated seed was used as a control. Seed of the different treatments was used to produce plants in a greenhouse, which were evaluated through traits related to forage quality and water stress tolerance. The first generation of plants derived from mutagenic treatments (M₁) was selected based on agronomic traits. The selected promissory mutants were then nutritionally, stomatally and molecularly characterized. All the possible selected mutants presented lower lignin content (P \leq 0.01) compared to the common variety. The 200-3 and 200-7 promissory mutants showed higher protein content ($P \le 0.01$) at maturity stage. Also, significant differences (P ≤ 0.01) were found between putative mutants and control plants in stomatal density and stomatal area. The molecular analysis revealed significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) between the control genotype and the promissory mutants 200-3, 600-3, and 600-6, which presented genetic distances of 0.41, 0.42 and 0.35 (Dice's coefficient) in relation to the control genotype, respectively. The phenotypic and genetic variability induced by gamma irradiation allowed to select the first generation of putative mutants with outstanding agronomic traits, nutritional value and stomatal characteristics.

Index words: AFLP, Cobalt-60, forage quality, mutation induction.

RESUMEN

El pasto garrapata [*Eragrostis superba* (Peyr.)] es una especie utilizada a nivel mundial para revegetar pastizales degradados; no obstante, posee baja calidad nutricional y tolerancia al estrés hídrico, por lo que puede ser candidato para mejoramiento genético. En el presente estudio se evaluó la diversidad morfológica, nutricional, estomática y molecular inducida mediante irradiación gamma en pasto garrapata. Para ello, se expuso semilla de la variedad común a radiación gamma en dosis de 100, 200, 300, 450, 600, 900, 1400 y 2000 Gy; además, se utilizó semilla sin irradiar como testigo. La semilla de los diferentes tratamientos se utilizó para producir plantas en invernadero, las cuales se evaluaron a través de características relacionadas

con calidad de forraje y tolerancia a estrés hídrico. La primera generación de plantas derivadas de tratamientos mutagénicos (M₁) se seleccionó con base en características agronómicas. Los mutantes promisorios seleccionados fueron caracterizados nutricional, estomática y molecularmente. Todos los posibles mutantes seleccionados presentaron menor contenido de lignina (P ≤ 0.01) respecto a la variedad común. Los mutantes promisorios 200-3 y 200-7 mostraron mayor contenido de proteína (P ≤ 0.01) en etapa de madurez; además, se encontraron diferencias significativas (P ≤ 0.01) entre plantas de posibles mutantes y testigo en densidad y área estomática. El análisis molecular reveló diferencias significativas ($P \le 0.05$) entre el genotipo testigo y los mutantes promisorios 200-3, 600-3 y 600-6, los cuales presentaron distancias genéticas de 0.41, 0.42 y 0.35 (Coeficiente de Dice) con el genotipo testigo, respectivamente. La variabilidad fenotípica y genética inducida por irradiación gamma permitió seleccionar la primera generación de posibles mutantes con mejores características agronómicas, valor nutricional y características estomáticas.

Palabras clave: AFLP, Cobalto-60, calidad nutricional, inducción de mutaciones.

INTRODUCTION

Plant breeding has been practiced worldwide for many years because it is a useful technique to improve desirable attributes in plant species (Oladosu *et al.*, 2016; Prasanna and Jain, 2017). A fundamental requirement for plant breeding is the availability of genetic variability; when such variability is not present, an alternative is its induction through mutation, which has been an important tool. Mutation induction to create genetic variability provides a simple and low-cost method (Xi *et al.*, 2012). The mutant varieties database of the joint program of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) has a record for 3288 mutant varieties (MVD, 2019).

Given their low genetic variability, alien genotypes are good candidates for mutation induction. Alien species have been used worldwide for a variety of purposes (*i.e.* revegetation). One of the alien species widely used to revegetate degraded grasslands is Wilman lovegrass [*Eragrostis superba* (Peyr.)] (Mganga *et al.*, 2013; Sáenz-Flores *et al.*, 2015). This species has a great establishment capacity, high forage production and the ability to contribute to soil retention and water infiltration (Mganga *et al.*, 2010; 2013); however, its nutritional value is lower than the value of other species widely used in revegetation (Sanderson *et al.*, 1999); in addition, it has shown poor tolerance to water stress and low water-use efficiency (Kipchirchir *et al.*, 2015; Koech *et al.*, 2015). Thus, Wilman lovegrass is a candidate for plant breeding to overcome its aforementioned limitations; however, mutation induction has not been used for breeding of Wilman lovegrass.

Previous research has shown gamma irradiation to be an effective technique for inducing genetic variability (Çakir et al., 2017; Shirasawa et al., 2016). This technique has also been used to modify attributes related to nutritional quality and water use efficiency of plant species; for example, Golubinova et al. (2016) obtained a mutant line of high forage quality in Sudan grass (Sorghum sudanense); likewise, Lee et al. (2017) developed low-lignin tree mutants of purple false brome (Brachypodium distachyon); furthermore, Çelik et al. (2014) reported a reduction in the stomatal density of soybean (Glycine max) mutants, which contributes to increase water use efficiency.

Given the lack of studies on breeding for Wilman lovegrass, the objective of this study was to evaluate the morphological, nutritional, stomatal and molecular variability induced through gamma radiation in Wilman lovegrass, within the framework of a breeding program with emphasis on nutritional quality and water use efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Treatments evaluated

The experiment was carried out under controlled environment conditions in a greenhouse located at the Universidad Autonoma de Chihuahua, in Mexico (28° 35' 16.0" N, 106° 06' 30.3" O). Wilman lovegrass seeds (common variety) were used for this study. The irradiation treatments were: 100, 200, 300, 450, 600, 900, 1400 and 2000 Gray (Gy). A sample of non-irradiated seeds (0 Gy) was used as a control. Approximately, 100 g of seeds were irradiated per dose. Ten plants per irradiation dose were grown. Considering that radiation does not affect all the individuals in the same way, each irradiated plant was considered as an experimental unit. Irradiation was performed in a Gamma beam panoramic irradiator (Model GB-127 MDS, Nordion; Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). The exposure time needed for the irradiation treatments was determined by using a Gafchromic dosimetry system and an ionization chamber (Model Acudose 4094118, RADCAL, Monrovia, California, USA). The radioactive source was cobalt 60 (⁶⁰Co) with an activity of 15,000 Curies (Ci). The irradiation was carried out at the MOSCAFRUT SAGARPA/ IICA facilities in Metapa de Domínguez, Chiapas, Mexico.

Plants were grown in pots (26 cm high and 18 cm in diameter). Pots were filled with sandy-loam soil of alluvial origin at a height of 23 cm and watered every 2-3 d to the saturation point, depending on soil desiccation. The sowing was carried out in April and the agro-morphological evaluation in July 2016 when plants were at the anthesis stage. At the time of evaluation, seeds of the inflorescence were at the dough stage, when pollination occurred.

Morphological characterization

The measured variables were stem weight, leaf weight, forage yield, leaf:stem ratio, leaf length, leaf width, plant height, foliage height, and foliage:plant height ratio. To quantify stem weight, leaf weight and forage yield, the crown of the plant was cut at 0.05 m above ground level and the stems and leaves were separated. The extracted samples were dried in a forced air oven at 65 °C for 72 h; the samples were then weighed on an analytical balance (Model GF-200, A&D, Tokyo, Japan), with a precision of 0.01 g. Leaf length was measured from the ligule to the apex of the leaf using a ruler (cm). Leaf width was measured at the equatorial part of the leaf sheath with a vernier (mm). These two traits were recorded from the third, fourth and fifth leaves of the tallest stem of the plant. Plant height was measured from the ground to the tip of the inflorescence of the tallest stem during anthesis. Foliage height was measured from the ground to the second leaf of the tallest stem. Based on the results of the morphological evaluation, the outstanding individuals were selected for nutritional, stomatal and molecular characterization.

Nutritional characterization

This evaluation was carried out at the animal nutrition laboratory of the Faculty of Zootechnics and Ecology, Autonomous University of Chihuahua, in Mexico. Outstanding individuals selected from morphological characterization (putative mutants) and control plants were characterized for forage quality. Evaluated variables included neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), acid detergent lignin (ADL), cellulose, hemicellulose and crude protein (CP). This evaluation was carried out using the flowered forage samples from morphological characterization; in addition, forage samples were taken four months later, during the maturity stage, when approximately 20% of the leaves were senescent. The forage samples resulting from the morphological characterization served to carry out this evaluation. Samples were ground in a Wiley[®] mill (Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, New Jersey, USA) through a 1 mm mesh screen. The forage samples resulting from each individual were divided into three subsamples and analyzed; then, NDF, ADF and ADL were estimated by the Goering and Van Soest (1970) method; this was performed in a fiber analyzer (Model ANKOM200, ANKOM Technology, Macedon, New York, USA), using filter bags Ankom[®] F57. Hemicellulose and cellulose were determined by subtracting ADF from NDF, and ADL from ADF, respectively. The CP was estimated by the Dumas combustion method, using a combustion nitrogen analyzer device (Model FP-528, LECO, St. Joseph, Michigan, USA).

Stomatal characterization

Stomatal characterization was carried out on selected putative mutants and on control plants. The variables evaluated were: abaxial stomatal density, adaxial stomatal density, abaxial stomatal area and adaxial stomatal area; to do this, the third, fourth and fifth leaves of the tallest stem of the plant were printed in clear nail polish; the imprints were taken from the equatorial part of the leaf sheath; the polish was dried for 20 min, then removed with dissection forceps and placed on slides for microscopy analysis; this procedure was performed on five randomly selected leaves and samples were taken from both abaxial and adaxial leaf surfaces. Samples were then analyzed under a phase contrast microscope (Model Axio Imager 2, Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany), at a magnification of 400x (10x ocular and 40x objective). Five microscopic fields (0.0945 mm²) per imprint were photographed with a camera (AxioCam MRc5 Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Stomata were counted and the areas of three randomly selected stomata were measured in each microscopic field. In total, 50 visual fields and 150 stomata were evaluated per individual.

Molecular characterization

Molecular characterization was performed only for mutants selected from the agro-morphological characterization; for this purpose, Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP) molecular markers were applied. Fresh leaf tissue (100 mg per plant) was used for DNA extraction; the tissue was placed in a 2-mL microcentrifuge tube with three steel beads and put in liquid nitrogen for 1 min to freeze. Frozen samples were lyophilized and ground in a small bead mill (TissueLyser II, Quiagen Inc., Hilden, Germany) twice for 30 s at a frequency of 30 Hz. Genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy[®] Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN Inc., Hilden, Germany), following the manufacturer's instructions. Total genomic DNA was quantified with a NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and adjusted to a concentration of 50 ng μ L⁻¹ by adding deionized water. The DNA was dyed with GelRed and visualized by electrophoresis on 1 % agarose gel.

The AFLP analysis was performed using an AFLP template kit (LI-COR, Biosciences), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Putative mutants were analyzed individually while a bulk was done with DNA from control plants. The AFLP analysis began with a restriction digestion of 2 µL of diluted DNA, using the EcoRI and MseI restriction enzymes; the digested DNA fragments were then ligated with EcoRI and MseI adapters. The pre-amplification step was carried out with AFLP primers having an additional single nucleotide (EcoRI + A and MseI + C). For selective amplification, the combinations of fluorescently labeled primer Msel + CTG-Eco RI + ACA, Msel + CTG-Eco RI + ACT, Msel + CTG-Eco RI + AGA, and Msel + CTG-Oligo Eco RI + AGC were used. In a following step, the polymerase chain reaction was performed into a thermal cycler (Model Veriti 2720, Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) by using the following amplification profile: one cycle of 30 s at 94 °C, one cycle of 30 s at 65 °C, one cycle of 60 s at 72 °C, 12 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C and one cycle of 30 s at 65 °C (with decrements of 0.7 °C in each cycle), one cycle of 60 s at 72 °C and 23 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 60 s at 56°C and 60 s at 72 °C. The selective amplification products (2 μ L) were mixed with 8 μ L of formamide and 1 μ L of LIZ 500 GeneScan standard size (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Finally, the AFLP fragments were analyzed on a Genetic Analyzer sequencer (Model 3730xl, Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and the data were collected using the Geneious software version R10.

Statistical analysis

Morphology data were analyzed by cluster analysis following the Ward's method. The number of groups was determined based on the pseudo F and T². The resulting clusters were compared by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey test ($P \le 0.01$). In addition, the groups formed by the cluster analysis were compared through a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) and orthogonal contrasts. Nutritional and stomatal data were analyzed through ANOVA and the Dunnett test ($P \le 0.01$). These analyses were carried out by using the Statistical Analysis System version 9.1 (SAS Institute, 2004).

In order to analyze molecular data, a binary matrix was created based on the presence (1) or absence (0) of AFLP peaks detected in the electropherograms. The matrix was then statistically analyzed with NTSYSpc version 2.1.

MUTATION INDUCTION IN WILMAN LOVEGRASS

Genetic similarity between populations was estimated based on the Dice's coefficient. The unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) was used as the clustering method. Bootstrap values were obtained using 5000 random permutations in the FreeTree software version 0.9.1.50 (Hampl *et al.*, 2001). The population groups resulting from the cluster analysis were compared by analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA; Excoffier *et al.*, 1992) and the genetic distance matrix was subjected to principal coordinate analysis with the GenAIEx software version 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012).

A Mantel test was performed to correlate the genetic and morphological matrices, the first one was constructed from Dice's coefficients of generic similarity with AFLP data, while the second one was elaborated from the Euclidean distances resulting from the morphological characterization. Since the AFLP analysis was performed with a bulk of the control plants, an average of the Euclidean distances from the control plants was used. For the Mantel test, 10,000 permutations were used in the GenAIEx software version 6.5.

RESULTS

The clustering pattern analysis integrated the individuals into four groups ($R^2 = 0.71$; Figure 1). Groups III and IV were integrated exclusively by putative mutants that were different (Wilk's Lambda; $P \le 0.0001$) from the rest, according to the multivariate orthogonal contrast analysis.

Group III was composed by putative mutants with the lowest ($P \le 0.05$) stem weight and the highest ($P \le 0.05$) leaves:stems ratio (Table 1); that is, the mutants clustered into this group may have the best forage quality; therefore, these were selected to be included into the subsequent evaluations (*i.e.* nutritional, stomatal, and molecular characterization). The putative mutants selected were: two from the 200 Gy dose (200-3 and 200-7), one from the 300 Gy dose (300-9) and three from the 600 Gy dose (600-3, 600-6 and 600-10); in addition, the 450-9 mutant was selected for presenting the highest forage yield.

Nutritional characterization

According to the results, the selected putative mutants presented lower NDF ($P \le 0.01$) than the control plants in both flowering and maturity stages (Table 2). At the flowering stage, all the mutants, except 600-3, presented lower hemicellulose content ($P \le 0.01$) than the control; in contrast, there were no differences in hemicellulose content (P > 0.01) at maturity stage. Putative mutants and the control did not show differences in cellulose content (P > 0.01) at the flowering and maturity stages; however,

all the mutants presented lower ADL ($P \le 0.01$) than the control plants at flowering and maturity stages.

Regarding crude protein (CP), none of the mutants presented a higher concentration ($P \le 0.01$) than the control at the flowering stage, except for the 450-9 mutant, which showed less CP ($P \le 0.01$). The mutant 450-9 had 25 % less CP than the control and was excluded from stomatal characterization. In the maturity evaluation, only the 200-3 and 200-7 mutants showed higher CP content ($P \le 0.01$) than the control, while the rest of the mutants showed no differences (P > 0.01).

Stomatal characterization

Results from stomatal density showed differences (P ≤ 0.0001) between putative mutants and the control. The mutants 200-3, 200-7 and 300-9 presented a higher density of abaxial stomata (P ≤ 0.01) than the control. In the abaxial surface, the putative mutant 200-7 showed a lower density (P ≤ 0.01) while mutants 300-9 and 600-10 presented a higher (P ≤ 0.01) density (Table 3). Regarding the stomatal area, the selected individuals 200-3 and 600-6 showed lower values (P ≤ 0.01) than the control, while individual 300-9 presented a higher value (P ≤ 0.01). On the adaxial leaf surface, the putative mutant 200-7 showed a higher stomatal area (P ≤ 0.01) while 300-9 had a lower area (P ≤ 0.01) than the control.

Molecular characterization

AFLP analysis detected a total of 520 bands. The resulting values of the Dice similitude coefficient ranged from 0.37 to 0.57. Based on molecular data, the cluster analysis separated the mutants and the control genotype into two groups, according to the bootstrap values (81). Group II was made up only of the putative mutants 200-3, 600-3 and 600-6, while Group I was made up of the rest of the putative mutants and the control (Figure 2). The genetic distance between these groups was 0.42, based on the Dice's coefficient. The AMOVA revealed differences (P \leq 0.05) between Groups I and II; however, the partitioning of the total molecular variation showed that 82 % occurred within groups and only 18 % between groups. This suggests that there is a large genetic variation even in Group I, where the control genotype was grouped.

Results of the principal coordinate analysis revealed that the two principal coordinates accounted for 47.3 % of the total variation. This analysis separated the putative mutants and the control genotype into two groups; therefore, it confirmed the results obtained by cluster analysis (Figure 2). The putative mutants with the greatest genetic distance (Dice's coefficient) from the control were



Plant (Control and Mutants)

Figure 1. Clustering of 80 putative mutants and 10 individuals of the common variety (control) of Wilman lovegrass (*Eragrostis superba*), based on 10 quantitative morphological variables. The dendrogram was constructed following the Ward's method.

Table 1. Means of 10 morphological traits obtained from 80 putative mutants and 10 common variety (control) individuals
of Wilman lovegrass (Eragrostis superba), clustered in four groups.

Morphological trait	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
Stems weight (g per plant)	4.2 b	3.0 c	1.3 c	5.7 a
Foliar weight (g per plant)	5.9 b	7.3 ab	8.8 a	8.9 a
Forage yield (g per plant)	10.2 b	10.4 b	9.8 b	14.7 a
Leaf:stem ratio	1.5 c	2.5 b	7.5 a	1.7 c
Leaf length (cm)	32.2 b	46.6 a	32.4 b	34.8 b
Leaf width (mm)	6.7 ab	7.7 a	6.5 b	6.6 b
Plant height (cm)	103.1 b	88.3 c	103.0 b	110.9 a
Foliage height (cm)	54.2 b	53.4 b	47.8 b	63.7 a
Foliage:plant-height ratio	0.52 b	0.60 a	0.47 b	0.57 a

Different letters in the rows indicate statistical differences between groups (Tukey, $P \le 0.01$).

Genotype	NDF (%)	ADF (%)	Hemicellulose (%)	Cellulose (%)	ADL (%)	Crude Protein (%)	
	Flowering stage						
Control	75.6 ± 0.79	35.6 ± 0.50	39.9 ± 0.37	30.7 ± 0.38	4.87 ± 0.15	11.8 ± 0.25	
200-3	70.0 ± 0.33*	33.2 ± 0.53*	36.8 ± 0.21*	30.4 ± 0.31	2.76 ± 0.23*	11.55 ± 0.1	
200-7	71.1 ± 0.52*	34.6 ± 0.49	36.4 ± 0.08*	31.8 ± 0.52	2.75 ± 0.05*	11.77 ± 0.1	
300-9	70.0 ± 0.29*	33.8 ± 0.46	36.2 ± 0.38*	31.3 ± 0.53	2.45 ± 0.13*	10.36 ± 0.1	
450-9	69.3 ± 0.72*	35.2 ± 0.36	34.1 ± 0.59*	32.6 ± 0.18	2.59 ± 0.27*	8.8 ± 0.02*	
600-3	70.0 ± 0.83*	32.0 ± 0.46*	38.0 ± 0.70	29.6 ± 0.47	2.42 ± 0.07*	12.71 ± 0.1	
600-6	72.9 ± 0.39*	36.8 ± 0.39	36.1 ± 0.63*	34.2 ± 0.4*	2.54 ± 0.09*	10.61 ± 0.1	
600-10	71.1 ± 0.73*	33.8 ± 0.41	37.3 ± 0.51*	31.2 ± 0.32	2.58 ± 0.3*	9.89 ± 0.7*	
			Maturity	/ Stage			
Control	75.7 ± 0.58	37.5 ± 3.60	38.2 ± 4.17	31.2 ± 3.99	6.31 ± 0.39	4.36 ± 0.01	
200-3	69.6 ± 0.91*	30.2 ± 3.02	39.3 ± 2.21	28.1 ± 0.20	2.09 ± 0.20*	5.28 ± 0.1*	
200-7	65.4 ± 0.84*	29.4 ± 0.35	36.0 ± 0.64	26.8 ± 0.40	2.59 ± 0.05*	5.18 ± 0.1*	
300-9	69.4 ± 0.97*	34.9 ± 0.57	34.5 ± 0.55	31.8 ± 0.55	3.02 ± 0.09*	4.84 ± 0.02	
450-9	71.0 ± 0.92*	35.6 ± 0.21	35.4 ± 1.11	32.3 ± 0.05	3.30 ± 0.25*	4.43 ± 0.02	
600-3	69.3 ± 1.21*	31.6 ± 0.54	37.6 ± 1.31	28.2 ± 0.61	3.41 ± 0.07*	4.42 ± 0.10	
600-6	66.4 ± 0.67*	32.9 ± 0.16	33.5 ± 0.68	30.4 ± 0.08	2.46 ± 0.14*	4.42 ± 0.19	
600-10	69.2 ± 0.55*	34.9 ± 0.52	34.2 ± 0.04	31.9 ± 0.33	3.03 ± 0.21*	4.84 ± 0.09	

Table 2. Biochemical composition of seven putative first-generation mutants and the control (common variety) of Wilman lovegrass (*Eragrostis superba*), evaluated at flowering and maturity stages.

*: means significantly different from the control (Dunnett, P ≤ 0.01), the control was a bulk of 10 non-irradiated plants. NDF: neutral detergent fiber, ADF: acid detergent fiber, ADL: acid detergent lignin.

600-6 (0.35), 200-3 (0.41) and 600-3 (0.42). The Mantel test showed a significant correlation (P \leq 0.05) between genetic and morphological data. The overall correlation coefficient between the genetic and the morphological distance was 0.14.

DISCUSSION

In general, high morphological variability was found in the evaluations. These results are in agreement with previous studies where gamma irradiation induced phenotypic variability in crops (Abtahi and Arzani, 2013; Pongtongkam *et al.*, 2006); in addition, the morphological variability found in this study agrees with that reported by López *et al.* (2011), who used gamma radiation to obtain new germplasm of buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*), a widely cultivated species in arid tropical and sub-tropical rangelands around the world (Marshall *et al.*, 2012).

Several studies have reported a relationship between morphological traits and nutritional quality of forage. Batistoti *et al.* (2011) found that the leaf area is negatively related to digestibility of organic matter in Guinea grass; likewise, Prakash *et al.* (2010) reported a negative correlation between plant height and forage digestibility in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*); in addition, Duru *et al.* (2008) postulated that a decrease in leaf proportion over time produces a decrease in forage digestibility of different grass species; thus, the selection of putative mutants in the morphological characterization was conducted based on the aforementioned traits.

According to the nutritional characterization, the selected individuals presented between 3.5 and 12.2 % less fiber content than the control. This may represent an increase in the nutritional value of the putative mutants, since forage digestibility is inversely related to fiber content (Avila *et al.*, 2010). This agrees with Kavithamani *et al.* (2010), who developed low-fiber soybean mutants.

Putative mutants showed an important reduction on lignin content compared to the control, which ranged

	Stomata	al density	Stomatal area		
Genotype	Abaxial	Adaxial	Abaxial	Adaxial	
	stoma	ta mm ⁻²	μm²		
Control	114.0 ± 2.7	182.7 ± 4.7	368.0 ± 5.8	205.6 ± 4.3	
200-3	138.2 ± 5.6*	179.1 ± 5.1	432.5 ± 6.3*	195.2 ± 6.2	
200-7	146.0 ± 4.6*	160.3 ± 4.7*	355.0 ± 9.2	229.1 ± 5.3*	
300-9	170.7 ± 8.8*	240.5 ± 10.5*	332.9 ± 5.4*	164.8 ± 4.5*	
600-3	117.2 ± 5.5	189.5 ± 5.5	378.7 ± 8.4	196.8 ± 6.1	
600-6	122.0 ± 5.9	196.1 ± 9.7	396.1 ± 6.4*	200.6 ± 5.3	
600-10	118.5 ± 4.0	235.6 ± 8.6*	380.4 ± 6.5	207.0 ± 4.0	

Table 3. Stomatal density and area from the abaxial and adaxial leaf surfaces of six putative mutants and a control (common variety) of Wilman lovegrass (*Eragrostis superba*).

* Means significantly different from the control (Dunnett, P ≤ 0.01). The control was a bulk of 10 non-irradiated plants.

from 46 to 76 %. Lignin is a non-digestible component of the cell wall, which can also bind to hemicelluloses through covalent bounds; these covalent bonds prevent the coupling of microbial enzymes, which limits the hemicelluloses digestion (Capanema *et al.*, 2004; Ramírez *et al.*, 2010). Hence, the reduction in lignin content suggests an important increase in the nutritional value of the selected individuals. Similar results have been reported by Lee *et al.* (2017), who obtained low-lignin mutants in purple false-brome (*Brachypodium sylvaticum*) through gamma irradiation.

Regarding crude protein content at maturity stage, it significantly increased in the selected individuals 200-3 (21 %) and 200-7 (18 %), compared to the control genotype; this suggests an increase in the nutritional quality of the putative mutants due to the positive correlation that prevails between protein content and forage digestibility (Mahyuddin, 2008). These results are in agreement with previous findings since Golubinova *et al.* (2016) found a mutant line induced by gamma irradiation with higher crude protein content than Sudan grass varieties; likewise, Kavithamani *et al.* (2010) developed high protein soybean mutants.

Both the size and density of stomata are important characteristics in plant ecophysiology due to their control over CO_2 intake and water loss by transpiration (Lawson and Blatt, 2014). It has been documented that plants with low stomatal density have a lower transpiration rate than plants with high stomatal density (Franks and Beerling, 2009; Schlüter *et al.*, 2003). This finding agrees with the

results obtained by Xu and Zhou (2008), who found that the stomatal density of Sheepgrass (Leymus chinensis) decreases under severe drought conditions, demonstrating that low stomatal densities benefit foliar plasticity in response to water stress. Tanaka et al. (2013) achieved an increase in stomatal density in Arabidopsis thaliana by inducing STOMAGEN overexpression, which enhanced the photosynthetic rate by 30 %; however, transpiration was doubled compared to that observed in the unmodified genotype; therefore water use efficiency decreased by 50 %; nevertheless, Franks et al. (2015) found a decrease in transpiration and an increase in water-use efficiency in genetically modified lines of Arabidopsis thaliana that presented low stomatal density and high stomatal area; therefore, the putative mutant 200-7 may present less transpiration and high water-use efficiency because it showed lower stomatal density and higher stomatal area than the control genotype in the adaxial surface. The adaxial surface is the most exposed face of leaf to solar radiation, where most of the transpiration occurs. A reduction in the rate of transpiration in Wilman lovegrass can be important because it is commonly used to revegetate areas where water is scarce.

AFLP analysis revealed significant genetic variation between individuals exposed to the mutagenic treatment and the control genotype; thus, it can be inferred that gamma radiation induced genetic variability in Wilman lovegrass. This result agrees with previous findings where genetic variation was induced by gamma radiation in crops; Rashid *et al.* (2009) induced genetic variation in rice (*Oryza sativa*) by using gamma radiation and obtained genetic



Figure 2. A) UPGMA dendogram of six putative mutants and a control genotype (common variety) of Wilman lovegrass (*Eragrostis superba*), computed from 520 AFLP markers. Bootstrap values greater than 50 % are shown. Natal grass (*Melinis repens*) was included as an outgroup. B) principal coordinate analysis for the AFLP markers based on genetic similarity.

distances from 0.32 to 0.78 (Dice similarity coefficient); likewise, Abtahi and Arzani (2013) evaluated 30 mutant lines of canola (*Brassica napus*) and found genetic similarities from 0.43 to 0.89.

Irradiation-induced genetic variation has also been reported in grass species; Zhang *et al.* (2012) increased the genetic diversity of 72 *Brachypodium* spp. accessions collected from different countries, through the use of gamma radiation; furthermore, López *et al.* (2011) obtained new buffel grass germplasm by combining chemical (ethyl methanesulfonate) and physical (X-rays) mutagenic agents. Pongtongkam *et al.* (2006) induced genetic variability in Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) and found genetic similarities from 0.56 to 0.78 (Dice coefficient) between mutants and non-irradiated plants. In the present study genetic similarities ranging from 0.37 to 0.57 were found, it could be considered that a broad genetic variability was

ÁLVAREZ-HOLGUÍN et al.

induced because these values are comparable to those achieved in the aforementioned studies.

The correlation found between the phenotypic and molecular data suggests that some of the phenotypic differences between the mutants and the control plants could be attributed to genetic variability induced by gamma irradiation; however, this correlation is relatively weak, probably because only a few genes may control agronomic traits, whereas AFLP markers randomly sample areas throughout the genome (Harris et al., 2010). These results are in agreement with those reported by Abtahi and Arzani (2013), who found a small correlation (r = 0.18) between the phenotypic and genotypic distances evaluated in 30 mutant lines of canola, based on morphological traits and simple sequence repeats markers. It is also is important to consider that in the first generation derived from mutagenic treatment (M₁) both effects of gamma radiation (somatic and genetic) are expressed in the phenotype; consequently, some phenotypic traits may result from the somatic effects of radiation.

CONCLUSIONS

Gamma radiation induced high phenotypic and genetic variability in Wilman lovegrass. The most effective dose was 600 Gy since it generated a higher frequency of putative mutants. The induced variability allowed to select the first generation of putative mutants with higher forage quality than the common variety and with improved stomatal characteristics. It is recommended to evaluate the following generations (M_2 , M_3 ...) of these materials until the fixation of the chosen characters to identify true mutants and, thus, have new improved germplasm available for future grasslands revegetation programs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors deeply thank the MOSCAFRUT Complex and Dr. June Simpson from CINVESTAV-Irapuato for the support provided during the seed irradiation process and the genetic analyses, respectively.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abtahi M. and A. Arzani (2013) Molecular and morphological assessment of genetic variability induced by gamma radiation in canola. *Journal of Plant Molecular Breeding* 1:69-84, https://doi. org/10.22058/JPMB.2013.3263
- Avila R. E., O. N. Di Marco, M. G. Agnusdei y C. Mayoral (2010) Digestibilidad de la fibra y materia seca de dos gramíneas megatérmicas (*Chloris gayana y Cenchrus ciliaris*) de diferente porte: relación con la edad y largo foliar. *Revista Argentina de Producción Animal* 30:1-13.
- Batistoti C., B. Lempp, L. Jank, M. G. Morais, A. C. Cubas, R. A. Gomes and M. V. B. Ferreira (2012) Correlations among anatomical, morphological, chemical and agronomic characteristics of leaf

blades in Panicum maximum genotypes. Animal Feed Science and Technology 171:173-180, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. anifeedsci.2011.11.008

- Çakir M., S. S. Mutlu and H. Djapo (2017) Gamma-ray irradiation improves turfgrass characteristics of St. Augustinegrass. Crop Science 57:587-594, https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2016.05.0414
- Capanema E. A., M. Y. Balakshin and J. F. Kadla (2004) A comprehensive approach for quantitative lignin characterization by NMR spectroscopy. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 52:1850-1860, https://doi.org/10.1021/jf035282b
- Çelik, O., Ç. Atak and Z. Suludere (2014) Response of soybean plants to gamma radiation: biochemical analyses and expression patterns of trichome development. *Plant Omics Journal* 7:382-391.
- Duru M., P. Cruz, A. H. K. Raouda, C. Ducourtieux and J. P. Theau (2008) Relevance of plant functional types based on leaf dry matter content for assessing digestibility of native grass species and species-rich grassland communities in Spring. *Agronomy Journal* 100:1622-1630, https://doi.org/10.2134/ agronj2008.0003
- Excoffier L., P. E. Smouse and J. M. Quattro (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics* 131:479-491, https://doi.org/10.1093/ genetics/131.2.479
- Franks P. J. and D. J. Beerling (2009) Maximum leaf conductance driven by CO₂ effects on stomatal size and density over geologic time. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106:10343-10347, https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0904209106
- Franks P. J., T. W. Doheny-Adams, Z. J. Britton-Harper and J. E. Gray (2015) Increasing water-use efficiency directly through genetic manipulation of stomatal density. *New Phytologist* 207:188-195, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13347
- Goering H. K. and P. J. Van Soest (1970) Forage Fiber Analyses (Apparatus, Reagents, Procedures, and Some Applications). Agriculture Handbook No. 379. Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Washinton, D. C., USA. 24 p.
- Golubinova I., Y. Naydenova, S. Enchev, T. Kikindonov, A. Ilieva and P. Marinov-Serafimov (2016) Biochemical evaluation of forage quality from mutant forms of Sudan grass (Sorghum sudanense (Piper) Stapf.) (In Russian). Journal of Ecology and Environment Sciences 15:43-51.
- Hampl V., A. Pavlícek and J. Flegr (2001) Construction and bootstrap analysis of DNA fingerprinting-based phylogenetic trees with a freeware program FreeTree: application to trichomonad parasites. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 51:731-735, https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-51-3-731
- Harris K., W. Anderson and R. Malik (2010) Genetic relationships among napiergrass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schum.) nursery accessions using AFLP markers. *Plant Genetic Resources* 8:63-70, https://doi.org/10.1017/S1479262109990165
- Kavithamani D., A. Kalamani, C. Vanniarajan and D. Uma (2010) Development of new vegetable soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merill) mutants with high protein and less fibre content. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding* 1:1060-1065.
- Kipchirchir K. O., K. R. Ngugi, M. S. Mwangi, K. G. Njomo and W. Raphael (2015) Water stress tolerance of six rangeland grasses in the Kenyan semi-arid rangelands. *American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 3:222-229, https://doi.org/10.11648/j. ajaf.20150305.18
- Koech O. K., R. N. Kinuthia, G. N. Karuku, S. M. Mureithi and R. Wanjogu (2015) Water use efficiency of six rangeland grasses under varied soil moisture content levels in the arid Tana River County, Kenya. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology 9:632-640, https://doi.org/10.5897/AJEST2015.1917
- Lawson T. and M. R. Blatt (2014) Stomatal size, speed, and responsiveness impact on photosynthesis and water use efficiency. *Plant Physiology* 164:1556-1570, https://doi.org/10.1104/ pp.114.237107
- Lee M. B., J. Y. Kim and Y. W. Seo (2017) Identification of lignin-deficient Brachypodium distachyon (L.) Beauv. mutants induced

MUTATION INDUCTION IN WILMAN LOVEGRASS

by gamma radiation. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 97:2159-2165, https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8024

- López C. E., A. Prina, S. Griffa, A. N. Ribotta, E. Carloni, E. Tommasino, ... and K. Grunberg (2011) Obtaining new germplasm in *Cenchrus ciliaris* L. through induced-mutation and *in vitro* selection. *Phyton-International Journal of Experimental Botany* 80:59-64, https:// doi.org/10.32604/phyton.2011.80.059
- Mahyuddin P. (2008) Relationship between chemical component and in vitro digestibility of tropical grasses. HAYATI Journal of Biosciences 15:85-89, https://doi.org/10.4308/hjb.15.2.85
- Marshall V. M., M. M. Lewis and B. Ostendorf (2012) Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) as an invader and threat to biodiversity in arid environments: a review. *Journal of Arid Environments* 78:1-12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2011.11.005
- Mganga K. Z., N. K. R. Musimba, D. M. Nyariki, M. M. Nyangito, A. W. Mwang'ombe, W. N. Ekaya, ... and W. M. Muiru (2010) Dry matter yields and hydrological properties of three perennial grasses of a semi-arid environment in east Africa. African Journal of Plant Science 4:138-144.
- Mganga K. Z., N. K. R. Musimba, D. M. Nyariki, M. M. Nyangito and A. W. Mwang'ombe (2013) The choice of grass species to combat desertification in semi arid Kenyan rangelands is greatly influenced by their forage value for livestock. *Grass and Forage Science* 70:161-167. https://doi.org/10.1111/qfs.12089
- MVD, Mutant Variety Database (2020) The Joint FAO/IAEA Mutant Variety Database. Joint FAO/IAEA Centre of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture. Vienna, Austria. http://mvd.iaea.org/ (February 2020).
- Oladosu Y., M. I. Rafii, N. Abdullah, G. Hussin, A. Ramli, H. A. Rahim, ... and M. Usman (2016) Principle and application of plant mutagenesis in crop improvement: a review. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment* 30:1-16, https://doi.org/10.1080/13102818.2015.1 087333
- Peakall R. and P. E. Smouse (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research-an update. *Bioinformatics* 28:2537-2539, https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts460
- Pongtongkam P., S. Peyachoknagul, J. Arananant, A. Thongpan and S. Tudsri (2006) Production of salt tolerance dwarf napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* cv. Mott) using tissue culture and gamma irradiation. *Natural Science* 40:625-633.
- Prakash R., K. Ganesamurthy, A. Nirmalakumari and P. Nagarajan (2010) Correlation and path analysis in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding 1:315-318.
- Prasanna, S. and S. M. Jain (2017) Mutant resources and mutagenomics in crop plants. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture

29:651-657, https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.2017.v29.i9.86

- Ramírez J. L., D. Verdecia, I. Leonard and Y. Álvarez (2010) Rendimiento de materia seca y calidad nutritiva del pasto *Panicum maximum* vc. Likoni en un suelo fluvisol de la región oriental de Cuba. *Revista Electrónica de Veterinaria* 11:1-14.
- Rashid M., L. Ren-hu, J. Wei, X. Yong-han, W. Fu-lin, T. Yue-zhi, ... and G. He (2009) Genomic diversity among Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L) mutants obtained through ⁶⁰Co gamma radiations using AFLP markers. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 8:6777-6783.
- Sáenz-Flores E., R. A. Saucedo-Terán, C. R. Morales-Nieto, P. Jurado-Guerra, C. R. Lara-Macías, A. Melgoza-Castillo y J. A. Ortega-Gutiérrez (2015) Producción y calidad de semilla de pastos forrajeros como respuesta a la fertilización en Aldama, Chihuahua. *Tecnociencia* 9:111-119.
- Sanderson M. A., P. Voigt and R. M. Jones (1999) Yield and quality of warm-season grasses in central Texas. *Journal of Range Management* 52:145-150, https://doi.org/10.2307/4003508
 SAS Institute (2004) SAS/STAT[®] 9.1 User's Guide. Version 9.1. SAS
- SAS Institute (2004) SAS/STAT® 9.1 User's Guide. Version 9.1. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, North Carolina, USA. 5121 p.
- Schlüter U., M. Muschak, D. Berger and T. Altmann (2003) Photosynthetic performance of an Arabidopsis mutant with elevated stomatal density (sdd1-1) under different light regimes. Journal of Experimental Botany 54:867-874, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ erg087
- Shirasawa, K., H. Hirakawa, T. Nunome, S. Tabata and S. Isobe (2016) Genome wide survey of artificial mutations induced by ethyl methanesulfonate and gamma rays in tomato. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 14:51-60, https://doi.org/10.1111/ pbi.12348
- Tanaka Y., S. S. Sugano, T. Shimada and I. Hara-Nishimura (2013) Enhancement of leaf photosynthetic capacity through increased stomatal density in Arabidopsis. New Phytologist 198:757-764, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12186
- Xi M., L. Sun, S. Qiu, J. Liu, J. Xu and J. Shi (2012) In vitro mutagenesis and identification of mutants via ISSR in lily (*Lilium longiflorum*). *Plant Cell Reports* 31:1043-1051, https://doi.org.10.1007/ s00299-011-1222-8
- Xu, Z. and G. Zhou (2008) Responses of leaf stomatal density to water status and its relationship with photosynthesis in a grass. *Journal Experimental Botany* 59:3317-3325, https://doi. org/10.1093/jxb/ern185
- Zhang L., Y. J. Jeon, S. Y. Kang and G. J. Lee (2012) Genetic diversity of natural and artificial populations of model grass Brachypodium species evaluated by AFLP markers. Horticulture, Environment, and Biotechnology 53:143-150, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13580-012-0104-5